

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.1904 of 2015
Date of decision: 22.12.2015

Budh Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Renu

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite repeated reminders, neither the petitioner nor anybody on his behalf has contacted in his office and, therefore, let the petition be dismissed for non-prosecution. And, in case, any interest/cause of action or dispute still arises, application for restoration of the revision and its decision on merit shall be moved.

Dismissed for non-prosecution with the liberty prayed for.

December 22, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge