

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1617 of 2006 (O&M)
Decided on:-7.1.2015.

Principal Arya Girls Higher Secondary, School, Patiala and another

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Vijay Kumar Goyal (through LRs) and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN PARSOON.

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate
for LRs of respondent No.1.

Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J (Oral)

Counsel for the LRs of respondent No.1 concedes the fact which also is in consonance with the claim of the decree-holder that he was working as music teacher at the time of his alleged termination of services on 1.11.1991.

2. Counsel for the judgment-debtors, petitioners herein, claims that they have already paid the amount but if any payment further is due on this count, they will not lag behind and would definitely pay the same.

3. In view of these circumstances, this revision petition is disposed of with a direction that the respondent/decreed-holder would make an application before the Executing Court if there is any payment still remaining to be paid and would give fresh calculations which would be

verified by the petitioners/judgment-debtors and accordingly the matter would be decided by the Executing Court within a month of filing of such calculations by the respondent/decreed-holder.

4. It is made clear that any observation made in the impugned order would not stand in the way of the parties in implementing the order passed today.

(Dr. Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)
Judge

January 07, 2015

'Yag Dutt'

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes