

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1843 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.17.03.2015**

Sanjeev Bansal	Versus	Petitioner
R.K. Pal and another		Respondents
Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.		

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no scope for any direction when according to the petitioner there are already sufficient observations made in the writ petition that the decree which the decree holder is trying to put in execution cannot operate to defeat the right of the petitioner. He must use all his resourcefulness where the case is pending for execution and see that the execution is either dismissed or disposed of in the manner in which he seeks for. No further direction is necessary.
2. The revision petition is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**March 17, 2015
Pankaj***