

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1839 of 2015

Date of Decision.31.03.2015

Dinesh Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

Smt. Pinki and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no cause for the petitioner to be complaining about the fact that there was a permission granted by this Court in C.R. No.293 of 2014 to the defendants Pinki and Sangeeta to file the written statements and the permission now granted by the trial Court is to Sangeeta alone to file a written statement. If there are two written statements by the same party, the Court will elicit from the 2nd defendant Sangeeta as to which statement she seeks to rely on and exercise the right of election before the trial Court. The Court will take on record the statement which the 2nd defendant elects to retain as a defence in the suit.

2. With this modification, the civil revision is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 31, 2015
Pankaj*