

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1558 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.05.2015

Sapna Vaid

... Petitioner

versus

Bakshish Chander Sibbal and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J.

As per office report, respondent Nos. 2 to 5 could not be served for want of correct addresses. Counsel for the petitioner states that respondent No.1 is the only contesting respondent and service of respondent Nos. 2 to 5 may be dispensed with.

Ordered accordingly.

The ex-parte order was passed in a partition suit against the defendant who was reported to have evaded service. The Court has dismissed the application for setting aside the ex-parte order filed after two years after the evidence of the plaintiff and defendant are concluded. The order passed is set aside and I will allow the defendant to participate in the proceedings from the stage at which the case was poised at the time when he moved an application. He will not have any special equities other than contending for his own right in accordance with law.

The revision petition is disposed of.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

29.05.2015

kv