

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1819 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.24.03.2015**

Punit JindalPetitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petition for amendment in the plaint is resisted by the respondent-defendant that the amendment carried out is belated and further it does not also relate to the suit property. According to him, the measurements given in the sale deed have no relevance to the measurements for the property which is in dispute. These contentions should be brought by the respondent by way of amendment in the written statement consequent amendment ordered in favour of the plaintiff or by means of an additional written statement as contemplated under Order 8 Rule 9 CPC.

2. With this liberty, the civil revision is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**March 24, 2015
Pankaj***