

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1788 of 2015  
Date of Decision: March 12<sup>th</sup>, 2015**

**Harikesh and Another** **.....Petitioners**

**versus**

**Jiya Lal** **.....Respondent**

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon.**

Argued by: Mr.D.P.S.Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J.**

Counsel for the petitioners urges that in the impugned order, market value of the property has been assessed @ 15,000/- per square yard, whereas, it would not be more than Rs.1500/- per square yard.

It is a matter of evidence and the petitioners would be at liberty to lead evidence before the lower Court. If the petitioners-plaintiffs are able to show during the course of proceedings of the suit that the market value of property was less than the assessed value by the Court, they would be entitled for refund of the court fee but at this stage, there is no need for interference in the matter.

Dismissed.

**March 12<sup>th</sup>, 2015**  
***anil***

**(Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)**  
**JUDGE**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1788 of 2015  
Date of Decision: March 12<sup>th</sup>, 2015**

**Harikesh and Another** **.....Petitioners**

**versus**

**Jiya Lal** **.....Respondent**

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon.**

Argued by: Mr.D.P.S.Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon, J.**

Counsel for the petitioners urges that in the impugned order, market value of the property has been assessed @ 15,000/- per square yard, whereas, it would not be more than Rs.1500/- per square yard.

It is a matter of evidence and the petitioners would be at liberty to lead evidence before the lower Court. If the petitioners-plaintiffs are able to show during the course of proceedings of the suit that the market value of property was less than the assessed value by the Court, they would be entitled for refund of the court fee but at this stage, there is no need for interference in the matter.

Dismissed.

**March 12<sup>th</sup>, 2015**  
***anil***

**(Dr.Bharat Bhushan Parsoon)**  
**JUDGE**