

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1771 of 2015
Date of decision : 17.11.2015

Shiva Texfabs Ltd. Corporate

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Chaudhary and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Verma, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. Santosh Sharma, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits, that decretal amount has already been deposited and nothing survives in the present revision petition. In case, something survives, liberty be granted to revive the revision petition.

In view of statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, present revision petition stands disposed of as infructuous with liberty aforementioned.

17.11.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**