

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CR No.1760 of 2015

Date of decision: 12.03.2015

KARAM SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/S JANAK RAJ SEWA
SINGH COMMISSION AGENTS

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr.Nandan Jindal,Advocate
for the petitioner.

--

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging order dated 27.1.2015 (Annexure P1) whereby the application moved by the petitioner for summoning the relevant documents, was dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Respondent has filed suit for recovery of ₹11,77,000/- against the petitioner on the basis of pronote and receipt dated 18.6.2012. During the pendency of the suit and before filing the written statement, petitioner moved an application that the respondent be directed to produce on record the original ledger book, cash book, general book and balance sheet of the firm from the year 2001 to April 2013 relating to the petitioner-Karam Singh, Ranjit Singh and Sohan Singh. Trial Court rightly dismissed the application

moved by the petitioner as the suit had been filed by the respondent against the petitioner for recovery on the basis of pronote and receipt. Moreover, it has been noticed by the trial Court that the petitioner had failed to point out any specific entry in the record sought to be summoned by him and had rather sought a direction that the respondent be directed to produce documents in bulk. Moreover, respondent had admitted that the petitioner was its old customer. However, the fact that Ranjit Singh and Sohan Singh were also selling their crop to respondent was denied by the respondent. It has also transpired, during the course of arguments, that the petitioner has filed the suit for rendition of accounts against the respondent. Hence, no ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

March 12, 2014
arya