

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1748 of 2015

Date of decision: March 12, 2015

Saddik

.....Petitioner

Versus

Urmila Raj

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. L.S. Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 18.02.2015 (Annexure P-6), whereby application moved by the petitioner for sending the agreement to sell for comparison to Forensic Science Laboratory or Central Forensic Science Laboratory, was dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, although, petitioner had earlier examined an expert, but the said expert had not examined the signatures of the defendant with the original agreement to sell.

In the present case, petitioner has filed suit for specific performance of agreement to sell dated 08.11.2005. In support of his case, petitioner has already examined an expert to establish that agreement to sell in question, was duly signed by the defendant. However, now petitioner by

moving the application in question has sought a direction for comparison of agreement to sell with admitted signatures of the defendant from Forensic Science Laboratory or Central Forensic Science Laboratory. Since the petitioner has already examined an expert in support of his case qua the disputed signatures on the agreement to sell in question, the learned trial Court had rightly dismissed the application moved by the petitioner for getting the signatures of the defendant on agreement to sell in question examined from Forensic Science Laboratory or Central Forensic Science Laboratory.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

March 12, 2015

m.singh