

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1743 of 2015**

**Date of decision : March 12, 2015**

Neelam Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Balbir Singh Gumber & another

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURMIT RAM**

\*\*\*

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not? No / Yes
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No / Yes

\*\*\*

Present : Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*

**GURMIT RAM, J.**

1. This revision petition has been filed by the petitioner (tenant) against the order dated 10.02.2015 (Annexure P-6) vide which the application of the petitioner (tenant) filed for the amendment of the written statement has been declined by the learned Appellate Authority, Jalandhar. This order is stated to be illegal, arbitrary, against the facts and law as well as without appreciation of documentary evidence on the record.

2. The present petitioner filed an application during pendency of the appeal before the learned Appellate Authority, Jalandhar for the amendment of the written statement. It is mentioned in this application that at the time of filing of the ejectment petition, both son and daughter-in-law

of the petitioner were serving in Police Department on contract basis. Now during the pendency of the appeal they have become permanent employees of the State Government as Deputy District Attorneys. Presently both of them are permanently posted at Kila Phillaur for imparting education to the police officials, which necessitated to file the instant application. Reply to this application was received. After hearing learned counsel for both the parties, the learned Appellate Authority rejected this application vide the impugned order.

3. Being not satisfied with this order, the present petitioner has come up in the instant revision petition.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that vide the proposed amendment in the written statement the petitioner (tenant) wants to bring on the record the only fact that during pendency of the appeal before the learned Appellate Authority both the son and daughter-in-law of the petitioner have become permanent employees of the State Government as Deputy District Attorneys, who were earlier working on contract basis at the time of filing of the ejectment petition.

5. From the perusal of the impugned order, it is found that the factum of employment of the son and daughter-in-law of the petitioner on contract basis was already brought on the record on 08.01.2010 on the application filed by the respondent/petitioner for amendment of his petition. So there is no need to seek any amendment in the written statement as sought by the present petitioner (tenant) which is simply to elaborate the pleadings that son and daughter-in-law of respondent / landlord who were earlier working on contract basis with State Government have now become

permanent Government employees.

6. So this revision petition being meritless stands dismissed and disposed of accordingly.

**March 12, 2015**  
*Gaurav Sorot*

**( GURMIT RAM )**  
**JUDGE**

Particularly in view of the fact that factum of the employment of son of the petitioner and his daughter-in-law on contract basis with Punjab Government has already come on the record since the year 2010 at the instance of the respondent/landlord.