

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.1980 of 2011(O&M)
Date of decision: 25.08.2015

Sadaram Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Subash

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. SK Tripathi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On August 10, 2015, this court has passed the following order:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the sole petitioner i.e. Sadaram Sharma, has since passed away, he be afforded a week’s time to resort to necessary measures in this regard.

Adjourned to 25.08.2015.”

Office report shows that the necessary application has not been moved so far. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite repeated reminders, the petitioner has not chosen to respond and, thus, the necessary application could not be filed.

That being so, the court is choice-less but to dismiss the appeal for non-prosecution.

Ordered, accordingly.

August 25, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

