

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.1731 of 2015

Date of Decision.11.03.2015

Mahant Lachhaman Dass (since deceased) through LRsPetitioners

Versus

Jagdev Singh and others

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no error in the order passed by the trial Court finding an application brought at the instance of purchasers from the defendants as maintainable. A purchaser will have the same remedy of what is vendor had. If it were to be contended that there was no ground for setting aside an ex parte decree that should be a different matter for consideration and it has nothing to do with the maintainability of the petition itself. The lower Court allowing the maintainability of the application has committed no wrong and the petitioner cannot treat himself as aggrieved person to come before this Court complaining in a revision petition. The application for setting aside decree at the instance of the purchasers will be considered on merits on the grounds which are set forth by the trial Court.

2. With these observations, the civil revision is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 11, 2015

Pankaj*