

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1714 of 2015
Date of Decision.11.03.2015

The Panjeta Cooperative House Building Sabha Limited, Ludhiana
.....Petitioner

Versus

Sohan Singh and others
.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Navkesh Singh Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petitioner will approach the Court before which the case was closed as opportunity being nil by setting out the reasons for his non appearance and setting out the justification for reopening the case. The procedure to be adopted has been set out by this Court in **Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others** in C.R. No.5639 of 2012 dated 25.09.2012. The petitioner may apply for such a process for redressal.

2. The revision petition is not tenable and it is dismissed but with the above observations.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

March 11, 2015
Pankaj*