IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1680 of 2015
Date of Decision.31.03.2015

Ram Murti .....Petitioner

Versus

Neelam .....Respondent

Present: Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is against the order fixing an interim maintenance of ₹ 4,000/- for the wife and child in the matrimonial proceedings. The contention is that the husband is merely a labourer and he cannot pay ₹ 4,000/- per month as maintenance.

2. For a woman to keep her life and soul together for herself and for the child, ₹4000/- is not high sum and the petitioner will work or earn more and save up money to pay maintenance to the wife. There is no concession which is possible to the amount which is determined. I decline to make any intervention.

3. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

March 31, 2015
Pankaj*

PANKAJ KUMAR
2015.04.06 12:35
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document