

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1545 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 24.04.2015

Gurdeep Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Pritam Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Singla, Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

K.Kannan, J.

On instructions, the counsel for the petitioner says that he will claim no relief of recovery of property from the hands of the purchaser. He would only confine his right to joint possession with other joint owners. In such an eventuality the direction for payment of *ad valorem* fee shall not be seen as necessary and the plaintiff is entitled to value the same in the manner sought for.

The impugned order is set aside to the extent to which it directs *ad valorem* court fee to be paid and the Court fee already said is taken as correct in the light of the plaintiff's submissions before this Court.

The civil revision petition is allowed.

24.04.2015

kv

(K.KANNAN)

JUDGE