

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1625 of 2015
Date of Decision.25.03.2015

Tarun Kapoor

.....Petitioner

Versus

Balwinder Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no necessity for the petitioner to arrive before this Court complaining that the Court has closed the evidence. The Court that closes the evidence is bound to reopen it if sufficient reasons are given for reopening the case. The procedure how such matter will be dealt with has been laid down by this Court in **Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013 (1) PLR 404.**
2. The civil revision is dismissed but the petitioner will have the liberty to follow the procedure laid down in the above said decision.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

March 25, 2015
Pankaj*