

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CM No. 13066 CII of 2015 and
CR No. 1469 of 2005 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 10, 2015

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Mukhtiar Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Batra, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Both the counsel for the parties agree that the tenant may have further time of two months to vacate the premises, since he has not complied with the direction for mesne profits in the manner determined by this court. The time of two months for vacating the premises is granted. The petitioner shall give an undertaking within a week in the Registry that he will vacate the premises within two months from today. If not done, eviction will operate eo instanti.

The application as well as the revision petition stand disposed of.

July 10, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

