

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1479 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision.31.10.2015

Resham Lal alias Happy

.....Petitioner

Versus

Naresh Rani

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Sahil Soi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.23164-CII of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, the order passed by this Court on 15.10.2015 is recalled and the revision petition is restored to its original number.

Application is allowed.

C.R. No.1479 of 2013

1. The revision petition is at the instance of the husband who challenges the maintenance granted @ ₹5000/- per month. The contention is that he is not working in Government as an Assistant in the Horticulture Department as stated by the wife and that he is merely doing some labour work with some contractor working for the Government. In whatever way it is said, the husband himself was required to produce proof of his ability to pay and the initial burden of the wife would only be to say that depending on the status, she is

entitled to be maintained during the pendency of proceedings. ₹5000/- per month is hardly any big amount that a husband would complain of by means of a revision petition in the High Court.

2. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

October 31, 2015
Pankaj*