

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
11/26/2015 14:52
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 1581 of 2015
Date of decision :November 26, 2015

Tek Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

Het Ram

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the parties do not dispute that the parties have compromised the matter, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition. The same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 26 , 2015
archana