

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1568 of 2015
Date of Decision: 04.03.2015**

Anita Rana

...Petitioner

Versus

Tarlochan Singh and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Manvinder Singh Dalal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 11.12.2014 whereby, evidence of the petitioner/plaintiff was closed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Impugned order read as under:-

"No PW is present. Adjournment sought. The issues in this case were framed on 26.07.2012 and since then the plaintiff has availed eleven effective opportunities to lead plaintiff evidence. No justification is made out for further adjourning the case for plaintiff evidence. As such, evidence of the plaintiff is closed by order of the Court. Now, the case adjourned to 09.03.2015 for evidence of the defendant. PF/DM, list of witness, if any, be filed for the date fixed".

Thus a perusal of the impugned order reveals that eleven effective opportunities were granted to the petitioner to conclude her evidence. Issues in this case were framed on 26.07.2012. Since the

petitioner-plaintiff had failed to conclude her evidence despite availing eleven effective opportunities, therefore, trial Court was left with no option but to close the evidence of the petitioner by order. No ground for interference is made out.

Dismissed.

March 04, 2015

Manoj Bhutani

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**