

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP no.10268 of 1994 (O&M)

Date of Decision :23.01.2015

Smt. Harinder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER

Present : Mr. Anil Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab

Mr. K.L.Arora, Advocate for the respondent no.3

MAHESH GROVER, J.

The petitioner has impugned the order of reversion which was stayed during the pendency of the petition. This would indicate that the petitioner continued in service on the post which was assigned to her before reversion. The facts would indicate that the petitioner has joined the service as a Clerk in the year 1978. Therefore with the efflux of time the petitioner would have retired by now. Thus the long pendency of the instant petition and also the restraint order has largely resulted in robbing the instant petition of any cause to be agitated.

In view of above, instant petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

January 23, 2015
rekha

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE