

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.1249 of 2014
Date of decision: 18.12.2015

Ram Karan

... Petitioner

Versus

Mahesh Chander and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Sunil Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Jaivir Yadav, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 7.
Mr. Yogesh Kumar, Advocate
for respondents No.9 to 11.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the respondents submit that as the dispute stands amicably resolved, nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be dismissed as having become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter does appear to have been settled, but he does not have any concrete instruction in this regard. Be that as it may.

Revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous, in case any dispute or cause of action still survives, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of the petition and its decision on merit.

December 18, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge

