

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1488 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 2, 2015

Sukhjinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Sukhpreet Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The revision is against the order of maintenance granted during the pendency of the petition for restitution of conjugal rights filed by the husband. The court has allowed for interim maintenance of Rs. 2500/- to be issued in favour of the wife. The order of interim maintenance shall be the first one that any court dealing with the matrimonial matter would require to address so that the parties are put at par and the woman claiming maintenance does not face deprivation during the trial. A contention that the husband is willing to restore the company will be a matter which the court will deal with and his offer to take her back cannot defeat the wife's right for maintenance, if she has justification for such separate living. Whether such justification exists or not cannot be pre-judged the time when the application for claiming maintenance is made. I will find no reason for interference in the revision petition.

Dismissed.

March 2, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

