

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.1353 of 2005
Date of decision: 21.10.2015**

Sunder Lal

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gurtej Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : None.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

None had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner on 2.9.2015, and the matter was adjourned to 14.10.2015. The position was not any different even on the adjourned date and the matter was adjourned for today. Today, none has caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner to pursue the matter.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that though, he does not have any concrete instructions but it appears for the demised premisses has since been vacated that is how the matter is not being pursued by the petitioner-landlord.

That being so, the petition is disposed of as having become infructuous. However, if any, dispute, interest or cause of action still survives, petitioner shall be at liberty to move the necessary application for restoration of the petition, for decision on merits.

October 21, 2015

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**