

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.1212 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 27, 2015**

Hari Chand and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

**Indian Railway Catering and Tourism
Corporation Limited & others**

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Sadeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gautam Kailey, Advocate for
Mr. Yogesh Putney and Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocates
for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the judgment dated 11.12.2013 (Annexure P-1) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Panipat, vide which the order of the lower court granting temporary injunction, was set aside.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 5 has placed on file the certified copy of the judgment dated 30.07.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Panipat, showing that the main case has already been dismissed on merits. The same is taken on record.

It being so, the present revision petition against the impugned order has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

August 27, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE