

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 1089 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 26, 2015

Maj (Retd.) Surat Singh Sandhu and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Amarjit Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh Guron, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. DS Sobti, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent states that even in his own application for withdrawal of the suit, he has stated that in the alternative, it be taken as an application for amendment of the aspects which he has omitted to state. The counsel for the petitioners has no objection for such amendment. The order already passed permitting the suit to be withdrawn is set aside and the application for withdrawal is converted as an application for amendment of the plaint in the manner sought for by the plaintiff. The amendment shall be carried out within the time prescribed by law and further evidence of the plaintiff will be confined to the amendment which is now being brought.

2. It is also stated that a fresh suit has been filed. It is ordered to be withdrawn with regard to the permission granted now for the amendment

to the original suit already instituted. Any interim application or orders made therein are also ordered to be quashed. The respondent will be at liberty to move an application before the trial court for interim order and if such application is filed, needless to say, it will be taken up and decided in accordance with law.

3. The revision petition stands disposed of accordingly.

March 26, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE