

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 1353 of 2015

Date of decision: 03.03.2015

Bhanu Batish

... Petitioner

versus

Pooja Bharti @ Pooja and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Vikas Mehsempuri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate for respondent No.1.

K.Kannan, J.

The petition is against the order of interim maintenance granted by the courts below. The husband, petitioner says that he is running a small scale business in batteries and invertors and has a relatively lower income of ₹ 2,00,000 per annum, while respondent is a teacher. She earns more than ₹ 15,000/- per month and is also an income tax assessee. She has no expenses for residence since her needs of residence are taken care of. She has also no need to take care of the tuition expenses for the children since he bears them. The petitioner states that the assessment of ₹ 8,000/- made is very high, considering the fact that he has also to support a large family of his own parents and others.

Learned counsel for the respondents explains that she was working in a school where the petitioner has reasonable clout and he was able to prevail on the management to terminate her services. According to the respondents she is not any longer in employment and ₹ 8,000/- which is ordered even if she may not required to spend for residence is hardly sufficient, since she takes care of herself and her

children. The tuition expenses constitutes but a small portion of a contribution by the husband to the children.

Having heard all this, I do not think this is any need for intervention in favour of the respondents. Maintaining the order already passed, I also direct the litigation expenses of ₹ 20,000/- to be given by the petitioner to the respondents.

The civil revision is disposed of.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

03.03.2015

kv