

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.1084 of 2014
Date of decision: 8.9.2015

Inderjit Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

Pawan Verma alias Paswin Verma and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present : Mr. Jatin Salwan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. BR. Mahajan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Akhilesh Vyas, Advocate for respondent No.1.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Vide order being assailed dated 10.12.2013, rendered by the Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Ajnala, application moved by the petitioner-defendants under Order XIII Rule 3 of the Civil Procedure Code, for rejection of inadmissible documents, has since been rejected.

In short, petitioners had prayed for de-exhibiting and rejecting the documents Ex.P1 to P8. Apparently, the prayer of the petitioners has been declined, being not maintainable at that stage. So much so, the earlier order dated 22.01.2013 (Annexure P-2), rendered by the Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ajnala, suggests that the admissibility of the said documents would be seen at the time of final arguments.

During the course of hearing, counsel for the parties reached a

consensus that let this petition be disposed of with an observation that the issue as regards the admissibility of the document (Ex.P8) i.e. agreement to sell dated 12.04.2004, be kept open and shall be examined by the trial Court at the final stage.

That being so, the revision petition is disposed of with an observation that the objection raised by the petitioner-defendants as regards the admissibility of the document (Ex.P8) shall be examined and dealt with comprehensively, by the trial Court, at the time of final arguments.

September 08, 2015

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**