

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.1329 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision.10.08.2015

M/s Google India Private Limited and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Shree Krishna International and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ashish Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mayank Mathur, Advocate
for the applicant-respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.16049-CII of 2015

The application is filed by the respondents for advancing the date of hearing and states that they have no objection to the revision petition being allowed. The counsel for the petitioner says that further instructions are necessary. I decline to adjourn or pass over the case particularly in view of the fact that the respondents only contend that they have no objection to the revision petition being allowed. I, therefore, take up the case and dispose it of today itself.

Application is allowed.

C.R.1329 of 2015

1. The revision petition is against an order closing the side of the petitioners and the counsel for the respondents states that he will

have no objection that the petitioners are granted an opportunity to bring their evidence. The order already passed is set aside and the petitioners will have one more opportunity to produce their evidence and the Court will conclude the evidence in process expeditiously and dispose of the case in accordance with law.

2. The revision petition is allowed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

August 10, 2015
Pankaj*