

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

CR No. 1023 of 2014 (O&M)
Decided on 15.1.2015

Narender Kumar

--Petitioner

Vs.

Raj Kumar

--Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Dr.Anmol Rattan Sidhu,Sr. Advocate, with
Mr.Rajesh Narang,Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr.Pankaj Jain, Advocate, for the respondent

Rakesh Kumar Jain,J: (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not want to press this petition on merit if time is granted to him staying in the demised premises because he would require some time to arrange for an alternate accommodation. Accordingly, he has prayed for a period of one year.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner may be granted six months' time to vacate the demised premises.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and examining the record, period of nine month is provided to the petitioner to vacate the demised premises subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall clear all the arrears of rent, if any due to the landlord within one month from today;
- (ii) the petitioner shall furnish an undertaking by way

of an affidavit in this Court that he would vacate the demised premises on or before 15.10.2015;

- (iii) the petitioner shall keep on paying monthly rent at the prevalent rent which he is paying so far;
- (iv) the undertaking shall be furnished within one month from today.

It is made clear that in case of any violation or undertaking to be furnished by the petitioner, not only this order would come to an end but also the petitioner would be liable for punishment under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Disposed of accordingly.

15.1.2015
rr

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge