

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1276 of 2015

Date of Decision:23.02.2015

Gulshan Kumar

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

Sonia

. . . .Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Vikram Anand, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is directed against the order dated 5.1.2015, allowing the application of the respondent, filed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, awarding a sum of ₹2500/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* and ₹7000/- towards litigation expenses.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is earning only ₹8000/- per month and his carry home salary is ₹7200/- out of which the maintenance of ₹2500/- is on the higher side. At the same time, he has not denied that the petitioner has engaged him to contest the impugned order and has not come through the legal aid. Meaning thereby, he can afford the litigation, therefore, it cannot be presumed that the petitioner is not in a position to pay ₹2500/- per month as maintenance *pendente lite* and ₹7000/- as litigation expenses.

Dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

23.02.2015

Vivek