

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.151 of 2011 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 10.12.2015**

Gian Chand

... Petitioner

versus

Shish Pal and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN**

----

Present: Mr. Ravi Gakhar, Advocate for  
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Kumar Rana, Advocate for  
respondent Nos. 1,3,8,9,12,13, 17,20, 23 and 24.

**K.Kannan, J.**

Some copies of public records which ought to have been brought in evidence in the affirmative by the plaintiff were brought subsequent to the closure of the defendant side. This is surely belated and the court rejected the plea for additional evidence. The documents appeared to have been assigned mark A and B and if they are public documents, they require no further proof of what the documents contain. For the sheer indiscretion of the plaintiff in not exhibiting them as evidence and closing the side without offering these documents as evidence, I impose cost of Rs. 10,000/- to be paid to the defendant. No additional evidence shall be given and if the defendant wants to subject the plaintiff for any cross-examination, he may be called for cross-examination; Otherwise the documents filed shall be received as exhibits on the plaintiff side. The defendant may also offer any contra evidence if he wants to rebut the additional evidence brought by the appellant.

The impugned order is set aside and the civil revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

10.12.2015  
kv

**(K.KANNAN)**  
**JUDGE**