

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.1245 of 2015
Date of Decision.11.03.2015**

Gurcharan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Karnail Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Mohd. Salim, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is against the order allowing an application to set aside the ex parte order filed under Order 9 Rule 7. The courts will be liberal in construing an application under Order 9 Rule 7 and will find no reason to disallow such an application so long as no grave prejudice could be said to be caused.

2. I find no cause for an interference in a revision petition. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**March 11, 2015
Pankaj***