

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.1122 of 2013

Date of decision:26.03.2015

Yaad Ram and another

... Petitioners

versus

Sunil Kumar Dahiya and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Rajender Chhokar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

The suit filed by the plaintiffs was on a plea that the defendants have obtained a sale in respect of the suit property by practicing impersonation. The plaintiffs would claim that the defendants had caused the presence of some unknown persons as vendors and it is also stated that the plaintiffs have lodged a criminal complaint which has been registered by the police at Badshahpur. In a case where the plaintiffs claim that they are not parties to the document at all and set up a case in legal effect a forgery, they are not required to set it aside. The prayer for cancellation of the documents must be taken as euphemism for declaration and for injunction as though they are not parties. Ad valorem court fee is not payable and the direction in that regard is erroneous and set aside. The revision petition is allowed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

26.03.2015

sanjeev