

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1173 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 07, 2015

Hardeep Thakur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vishwa Mitter

....Respondent-Caveator

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bahl, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Nitish Garg, Advocate
for the respondent-caveator.

SABINA, J

Respondent had filed the petition under Section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of the petitioner. The learned Rent Controller vide order dated 10.10.2014 allowed the ejectment petition. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner-tenant.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not press the revision petition on merits and prayed that the petitioner be granted time to vacate the demised premises upto 31.03.2016 as he was in possession of the premises in question for a number of years and shop in question was the only source of livelihood of the petitioner.

Learned Senior counsel for the respondent has not

opposed the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner qua grant of time to the petitioner upto 31.03.2016 to vacate the premises in question.

In view of submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this revision petition is dismissed on merits. However, petitioner is granted time from today to vacate the demised premises, i.e. on or before 31.03.2016. This order shall operate subject to filing of an undertaking by the petitioner in this regard before the learned Rent Controller within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on making payment of the entire arrears of rent, if any due, within a period of four weeks from today and shall also keep on paying the rent of ensuing months upto 31.03.2016 to the respondent on or before 10th of each calendar month.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2015

m.singh