

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

250

**Civil Revision No.1154 of 2015
Date of Decision:10.09.2015**

Purshotam Lal

....petitioner

Versus

Amrik Singh and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Namit Gautam, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.R.S.Bhatia, Advocate and
Mr.Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the respondents

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that as the suit itself has been decided, nothing substantive survives in the petition, and the same be disposed of, as having become infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

10.09.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**