

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
09/09/2015 10:21
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 1118 of 2015
Date of decision : September 7, 2015

Kuldeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. R. K. Chaudhary, Advocate for
Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition. The revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

September 7, 2015
archana