

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.1113 of 2015
Date of Decision:18.02.2015

Ms. Anita Dhillon

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

Smt. Gayatri Devi and another

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.G.S. Kler, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.

The petitioner is aggrieved against the order dated 23.1.2015 by which the Court below has directed to pay the provisional rent and also monthly rent by the 7th day of each month during the pendency of the petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not denied that the rent deed is between respondent No.1 and her husband Amarjit Dhillon, meaning thereby she is not the tenant in the demised premises rather it is submitted that she is living with Amarjit Dhillon and is not even his wife.

In view thereof, she has no *locus standi* to challenge the impugned order as the relationship of landlord & tenant and lesser & lessee is between Gayatri Devi and Amarjit Dhillon.

In view thereof, I do not find any merit in the present revision petition and hence, the same is hereby dismissed.

18.02.2015

Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

VIVEK PAHWA
2015.02.23 16:16
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document