

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 1096 of 2015
Date of Decision: 24.4.2015.

Harinder Pal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kesho Ram and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the respondents.

SABINA, J.

Respondents had filed the petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of the petitioner. The learned Rent Controller vide order dated 16.1.2014 allowed the ejectment petition. Aggrieved against the said order, petitioner preferred an appeal and the same was dismissed by the Appellate Authority vide judgment dated 9.12.2014. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner-tenant.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not press the revision petition on merits and prayed that the petitioner be granted time upto 31.12.2015 to vacate the demised premises as he was in possession of the premises in question for a number of years.

The said request has not been opposed by the learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of submissions made by the learned counsel for

the petitioner, this revision petition is dismissed on merits. However, the petitioner is granted time to vacate the demised premises on or before 31.12.2015. This order shall operate subject to filing of an undertaking by the petitioner in this regard before the learned Rent Controller within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on making payment of the entire arrears of rent, if any due, within a period of 4 weeks from today and shall also keep on paying the rent of ensuing months up to 31.12.2015 to the respondents on or before 10th of each calendar month.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

**April 24, 2015
Gurpreet**