

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

**CP No. 78 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision March 3, 2015**

BCC Fuba India Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rikken Instrumentation Ltd.

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A MIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. A. K. Srivastava, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J (ORAL).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that though in a petition filed at New Delhi the matter was referred to Mediation Centre, Saket Courts, New Delhi and as per order dated 1.9.2014, after noticing the respective requests of the parties to the *lis* the matter has been settled in the mediation proceedings.

The order of the Mediator is taken on record.
Registry is directed to place it at an appropriate place.

As per clause 6 and 7 of the terms and conditions of the settlement the petitioner has agreed to withdraw the petition filed against the second party in this Court.

The Company petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the learned counsel for the petitioner to revive the same, in case there is any default in the compromise/agreement arrived at between the parties.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 3, 2015
archana