

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.34 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.05.2015

Tech Mahindra Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

S Tel Pvt. Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Amandeep Singh Talwar, Advocate &
Mr. Santosh Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

In view of the even order dated 04.05.2015 passed in CP No.54 of 2014 titled as Huawei International Pte Ltd. Vs. S. Tel Pvt. Ltd., wherein winding up petition filed by the petitioner company has been admitted, present petition is disposed of as infructuous, however, with a liberty to the petitioner to get the same revived in case the respondent-company comes out of admission.

04.05.2015

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**