

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

COCP No.936 of 2014

Date of Decision: April 28, 2014

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Naresh Chand Goyal

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Jindal, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Varun Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Learned senior counsel for the respondent, with reference to the short reply, submits that the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous, as the order passed by this Court stands complied with.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

In case the petitioner is aggrieved by any subsequent action taken by any private person, he may avail of the remedy in accordance with law.

Rule discharged.

April 28, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE