

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.M. No.9454-CII of 2015 and
C.R. No.2430 of 2001
Date of Decision.06.05.2015**

Ram PartapPetitioner

Versus

Chander Mohan and Brother and anotherRespondents

Present: Ms. Kashika Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.9454-CII of 2015

The application is allowed.

Compromise dated 18.04.2015 is taken on record as Annexure
A-1.

C.R. No.2430 of 2001

1. The counsel for the petitioner states that there is a compromise entered between the parties and the petitioner has agreed to vacate the premises. He seeks for disposal of the revision petition in view of the compromise entered between the parties.

2. The revision petition is disposed of in terms of the compromise.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

**May 06, 2015
Pankaj***