

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 907 of 2014

Date of Decision: July 08, 2015

Yashwanti Devi and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Surina Rajan and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondents states that the claim of petitioners 2, 3, 7 and 8 stands rejected vide orders dated 19.05.2015 passed by the State Project Director, HSSPP, Panchkula. Copies of the said orders have been supplied to the counsel for the petitioners.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioners who have not been given the appointment letters, to challenge the speaking orders which have been passed by the respondents, in accordance with law.

Rule discharged.

July 08, 2015

Harish

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**