

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 905 of 2014

Date of Decision: July 08, 2015

Satyawan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Surina Rajan and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the respondents states that the claim of the petitioners 6, 7 and 13 stands rejected vide orders dated 19.05.2015 passed by the State Project Director, HSSPP, Panchkula. He states that qua petitioner No.12, he had not received the communication with regard to the authenticity of the degree possessed by the said petitioner and on receipt of the same, an appropriate order either granting him an appointment letter or rejecting her claim, would be passed and conveyed to the said petitioner itself.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioners who have not been given the appointment letter to challenge the speaking order which has been passed by the respondents, in accordance with law.

Rule discharged.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

July 08, 2015

Harish