

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.149 of 2013  
Date of decision : 14.09.2015

M/s Gobind Steels

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Atlas Products Pvt. Ltd.

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.  
\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Registered office of the respondent company is within the jurisdiction of Delhi Court. The winding up petition has been filed by invoking the territorial jurisdiction of this Court on the premise that registered office of the respondent company is within the jurisdiction of this Court.

The matter is pending adjudication since 2013, I do not deem it appropriate to keep it pending, for the reasons, that registered office as shown in the memo of parties is at Delhi, thus, this Court would not have any jurisdiction.

The petition is ordered to be returned with a liberty to the petitioner to file the same in Competent Court of law having a territorial jurisdiction by moving an application seeking condonation of delay.

Petition is disposed of.

**14.09.2015**

*pawan*

**(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE**