

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.M. NO.10613-14 CII OF 2013 &
C.O.C.P. NO.928 OF 2012

DATE OF DECISION: APRIL 27, 2015

Kuldip Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

Nirmal Singh and another

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.P.S.Kohli, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

C.M. Nos.10613-14 CII of 2013

Applications are allowed.

Reply by way of affidavit is taken on record.

Exemption is also granted from filing certified copies of
Annexures R-1 and R-2.

C.O.C.P. No.928 of 2012

Counsel for the petitioner states that the present contempt
petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

Rule discharged.

April 27, 2015
khurmi

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE