

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CP No.121 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:27.11.2015

Pawan Nagrath

.... Petitioner

Versus

M/s Mansa Print and Publishers Ltd.

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Lalit Thakur, Advocate for the respondent.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Learned counsel for the respondent- Company has filed a short reply by way of affidavit of Parveen Sharma, Authorised representative of the respondent- Company stating therein that the respondent- Company has filed an application before the BIFR which has been registered as Case No.89/2015. It has been confirmed by BIFR vide letter dated 16.7.2015.

In view of the aforesaid development and the bar contained in Section 22 of the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985, the proceedings against the respondent-Company can not continue, hence, the same is disposed of at this stage. However, with liberty to the petitioner to get the same revived after the respondent-Company comes out of BIFR and the claim of the petitioner is not settled.

27.11.2015
renu

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE