

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 711 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.01.2015.

Lalit Choudhary @ Lalit Kishore

--Petitioner

Versus

Mohinder Singh

--Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. R.S. Athwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate for respondent.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.J

C.M. No.17899-CII of 2015

Application is allowed as prayed for.

Reply on behalf of the sole respondent-contemner along with documents at Annexures R-1 to R-3 is taken on record. Complete copy has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

COCP No.711 of 2014

Perusal of the reply would reveal that towards compliance of the order dated 10.2.2014 (Annexure P-1), passed by the Rent Controller, Balachaur, S.B.S. Nagar, the inspection of the premises in question was to take place on 25.2.2014 as per date notified by the Expert concerned. However, on such date the respondent was away to his native village and as such, the inspection of the premises could not take place.

Be that as it may, the respondent-contemner attended the subsequent hearing before the learned Rent Controller and gave his consent for inspection and in pursuance thereto the Expert had duly inspected the house on 10.3.2014 and furnished his report before the Trial Court.

The factum of the premises having been inspected on 10.3.2014 and the report having been submitted, has gone unrebutted at the hands of learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

In view of the factual position noticed herein above, the instant contempt petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Rule discharged.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

January 29, 2015.
lucky